## Licensing and Regulatory Committee



Title of Report:	Proposed Joint West Suffolk Sex Establishment Policy		
Report No:	LIC/SE/17/ <del>002</del> 003		
Report to and date/s:	Licensing and Regulatory Committee	24 January 2017	
	Cabinet	7 February 2017	
	Council	21 February 2017	
Portfolio holder:	Councillor Alaric Pugh Portfolio Holder for Planning and Growth Tel: 07930 460899 Email: alaric.pugh@stedsbc.gov.uk		
Lead officer:	Amanda Garnham Licensing Team Leader Tel: 01284 758050 Email: Amanda.garnham@westsuffolk.gov.uk		
Purpose of report:	This report seeks the Licensing and Regulatory Committee's approval to recommend the adoption of the proposed Joint West Suffolk Sex Establishment Licensing Policy		
Recommendation:	It is recommended to Cabinet and Council that the proposed West Suffolk Sex Establishment Licensing Policy be adopted, as set out in Appendix 1 to Report LIC/SE/17/ <del>002</del> 003.		
Key Decision:  (Check the appropriate box and delete all those that do not apply.)	Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition? Yes, it is a Key Decision - □ No, it is not a Key Decision - ⊠		

The decisions made as a result of this report will usually be published within					
48 hours and cannot be actioned until five clear working days of the					
<b>publication of the decision</b> have elapsed. This item is included on the Decisions Plan.					
Consultation:		• See	See Paragraph 2 of the report		
Alternative option(s):					
Implications:	<u> </u>				
Are there any <b>financial</b> implications?			Yes □ No ⊠		
If yes, please give details			Within budget		
Are there any <b>staffing</b> implications?			Yes □ No ⊠		
If yes, please give details					
Are there any <b>ICT</b> implications? If			Yes □ No ⊠		
yes, please give details			Within current budget		
Are there any legal and/or policy			Yes ⊠ No □		
implications? If yes	, please give	ļ	A Sex Establishment Licensing		
details				for clear controls	
				on the issue of licences and	
			maintenance of sex establishments		
			and includes a set of standard		
			conditions to be applied to each		
			licence, unless they are expressly excluded or varied.		
Are there any <b>equa</b>	ality implicat	ions?	Yes □ No ⊠		
If yes, please give	-	10115.	No impact identified		
Risk/opportunity assessment:			(potential hazards or opportunities affecting		
		L			
			corporate, service or p	project objectives)	
Risk area	Inherent le			roject objectives)  Residual risk (after	
	Inherent le		corporate, service or p	project objectives)	
	Inherent le risk (before controls)	vel of	corporate, service or p	Residual risk (after controls)	
Risk area  Failure to adopt the	Inherent le	vel of	Controls  Consultation has	roject objectives)  Residual risk (after	
Risk area  Failure to adopt the joint policy will leave	Inherent le risk (before controls) Low/Medium/	vel of	Controls  Consultation has been completed and	Residual risk (after controls)  Low/Medium/ High*	
Risk area  Failure to adopt the	Inherent le risk (before controls) Low/Medium/	vel of	Controls  Consultation has	Residual risk (after controls)  Low/Medium/ High*	
Risk area  Failure to adopt the joint policy will leave the Authority with an	Inherent le risk (before controls) Low/Medium/ High	vel of	Controls  Consultation has been completed and	Residual risk (after controls)  Low/Medium/ High*	
Failure to adopt the joint policy will leave the Authority with an outdated SEBC policy Ward(s) affected Background pape	Inherent le risk (before controls) Low/Medium/High	vel of	Controls  Consultation has been completed and no objections made	Residual risk (after controls)  Low/Medium/ High*	
Failure to adopt the joint policy will leave the Authority with an outdated SEBC policy Ward(s) affected Background pape (all background page)	Inherent le risk (before controls) Low/Medium/ High	vel of  High*	Controls  Consultation has been completed and no objections made  All  1. Policing and Cri	Residual risk (after controls)  Low/Medium/ High* Low  me Act 2009	
Failure to adopt the joint policy will leave the Authority with an outdated SEBC policy  Ward(s) affected  Background pape (all background pape published on the winds)	Inherent le risk (before controls) Low/Medium/ High	vel of  High*	Controls  Consultation has been completed and no objections made  All  1. Policing and Cri http://www.leg	Residual risk (after controls)  Low/Medium/ High* Low  me Act 2009 islation.gov.uk/ukpg	
Failure to adopt the joint policy will leave the Authority with an outdated SEBC policy Ward(s) affected Background pape (all background page)	Inherent le risk (before controls) Low/Medium/ High	vel of  High*	Controls  Consultation has been completed and no objections made  All  1. Policing and Cri http://www.leg a/2009/26/cont	Residual risk (after controls)  Low/Medium/ High* Low  me Act 2009 islation.gov.uk/ukpg	
Failure to adopt the joint policy will leave the Authority with an outdated SEBC policy  Ward(s) affected  Background pape (all background pape published on the winds)	Inherent le risk (before controls) Low/Medium/ High	vel of  High*	Consultation has been completed and no objections made  All  1. Policing and Cri http://www.leg a/2009/26/cont 2. Local Governments	Residual risk (after controls)  Low/Medium/ High* Low  me Act 2009 islation.gov.uk/ukpg cents ent (Miscellaneous	
Failure to adopt the joint policy will leave the Authority with an outdated SEBC policy  Ward(s) affected  Background pape (all background pape published on the winds)	Inherent le risk (before controls) Low/Medium/ High	vel of  High*	Consultation has been completed and no objections made  All  1. Policing and Cri http://www.leg a/2009/26/cont 2. Local Governme Provisions) Act	Residual risk (after controls)  Low/Medium/ High* Low  me Act 2009 islation.gov.uk/ukpg cents ent (Miscellaneous 1982	
Failure to adopt the joint policy will leave the Authority with an outdated SEBC policy  Ward(s) affected  Background pape (all background pape published on the winds)	Inherent le risk (before controls) Low/Medium/ High	vel of  High*	Controls  Consultation has been completed and no objections made  All  1. Policing and Cri http://www.leg a/2009/26/cont 2. Local Governme Provisions) Act http://www.leg	Residual risk (after controls)  Low/Medium/ High* Low  me Act 2009 islation.gov.uk/ukpg cents ent (Miscellaneous	
Failure to adopt the joint policy will leave the Authority with an outdated SEBC policy  Ward(s) affected  Background pape (all background pape published on the winds)	Inherent le risk (before controls) Low/Medium/ High	vel of  High*	Consultation has been completed and no objections made  All  1. Policing and Cri http://www.leg a/2009/26/cont 2. Local Governme Provisions) Act	Residual risk (after controls)  Low/Medium/ High* Low  me Act 2009 islation.gov.uk/ukpg cents ent (Miscellaneous 1982 islation.gov.uk/ukpg	
Failure to adopt the joint policy will leave the Authority with an outdated SEBC policy  Ward(s) affected  Background pape (all background pape published on the winds)	Inherent le risk (before controls) Low/Medium/ High	vel of  High*	Consultation has been completed and no objections made  All  1. Policing and Cri http://www.leg a/2009/26/cont 2. Local Governme Provisions) Act http://www.leg a/1982/30  3. Closed Consultations	Residual risk (after controls)  Low/Medium/ High* Low  me Act 2009 islation.gov.uk/ukpg cents ent (Miscellaneous 1982 islation.gov.uk/ukpg	
Failure to adopt the joint policy will leave the Authority with an outdated SEBC policy  Ward(s) affected  Background pape (all background pape published on the winds)	Inherent le risk (before controls) Low/Medium/ High	vel of  High*	Consultation has been completed and no objections made  All  1. Policing and Cri http://www.leg a/2009/26/cont 2. Local Governme Provisions) Act http://www.leg a/1982/30  3. Closed Consultating http://www.weige.	Residual risk (after controls)  Low/Medium/ High* Low  me Act 2009 islation.gov.uk/ukpg tents ent (Miscellaneous 1982 islation.gov.uk/ukpg	
Failure to adopt the joint policy will leave the Authority with an outdated SEBC policy  Ward(s) affected  Background pape (all background pape published on the winds)	Inherent le risk (before controls) Low/Medium/ High	vel of  High*	Consultation has been completed and no objections made  All  1. Policing and Cri http://www.leg a/2009/26/cont 2. Local Governme Provisions) Act http://www.leg a/1982/30 3. Closed Consultations http://www.weincil/Consultation	me Act 2009 islation.gov.uk/ukpg ents ent (Miscellaneous 1982 islation.gov.uk/ukpg tion stsuffolk.gov.uk/Cou	
Failure to adopt the joint policy will leave the Authority with an outdated SEBC policy  Ward(s) affected  Background pape (all background pape published on the winds)	Inherent le risk (before controls) Low/Medium/ High	vel of  High*	Consultation has been completed and no objections made  All  1. Policing and Cri http://www.leg a/2009/26/cont 2. Local Governme Provisions) Act http://www.leg a/1982/30 3. Closed Consultat http://www.weincil/Consultationestablishmentpum	me Act 2009 islation.gov.uk/ukpg tents ent (Miscellaneous 1982 islation.gov.uk/ukpg tion stsuffolk.gov.uk/Cou ns/proposedjointsex olicyconsultation.cf	
Failure to adopt the joint policy will leave the Authority with an outdated SEBC policy  Ward(s) affected  Background pape (all background pape published on the winds)	Inherent le risk (before controls) Low/Medium/ High	vel of  High*	Consultation has been completed and no objections made  All  1. Policing and Cri http://www.leg a/2009/26/cont 2. Local Governme Provisions) Act http://www.leg a/1982/30 3. Closed Consultation http://www.weincil/Consultation establishment promited in the consultation of the consultatio	me Act 2009 islation.gov.uk/ukpg ents ent (Miscellaneous 1982 islation.gov.uk/ukpg tion stsuffolk.gov.uk/Cou ns/proposedjointsex olicyconsultation.cf	
Failure to adopt the joint policy will leave the Authority with an outdated SEBC policy  Ward(s) affected  Background pape (all background pape published on the winds)	Inherent le risk (before controls) Low/Medium/ High	vel of  High*	Consultation has been completed and no objections made  All  1. Policing and Cri http://www.leg a/2009/26/cont 2. Local Governme Provisions) Act http://www.leg a/1982/30 3. Closed Consultat http://www.weincil/Consultationestablishmentpum	me Act 2009 islation.gov.uk/ukpg ents ent (Miscellaneous 1982 islation.gov.uk/ukpg tion stsuffolk.gov.uk/Cou ns/proposedjointsex olicyconsultation.cf	

Documents attached:	(Please list any appendices.) Appendix 1 – Proposed West Suffolk Sex Establishment Policy

## 1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation(s)

## 1. **Background**

- 1.1 Section 27 of the Policing and Crime Act 2009 provided adoptive provisions to allow local authorities to regulate lap dancing clubs and similar venues under the same regime as sex shops and sex cinemas. Specifically the 2009 Act reclassified lap dancing clubs and other similar venues as "sexual entertainment venues", as a sex establishment under Schedule 3 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1982. St. Edmundsbury Borough Council (the Council) passed a resolution to adopt these powers on 1 March 2011.
- 1.2 Sexual entertainment venues are defined as "any premises at which relevant entertainment is provided for a live audience for the financial gain of the organiser or performer". The meaning of relevant entertainment is "any live performance or live display of nudity which is of such a nature that, it must be reasonably assumed to be provided solely or principally for the purpose of sexually stimulating any member of an audience (whether by verbal or other means)".
- 1.3 In summary Schedule 3 (as amended) to the 1982 Act:
  - Allowed local authorities to adopt the legislation.
  - Allows local people to oppose an application for a sex establishment licence
    if they have legitimate concerns that a lap dancing club would be
    inappropriate given the character of an area because, for example, the area
    was primarily a residential area (There is an exemption for premises that
    provide such entertainment on an infrequent basis).
  - Requires licences to be renewed at least yearly, at which point local people will have the opportunity to raise objections with the local authority.
  - Allows a local authority to reject a licence application if they believe that to grant a licence for a lap dancing club would be inappropriate given the character of a particular area.
  - Allows a local authority to set a limit on the number of sexual entertainment venues that they think appropriate for a particular area.
  - Allows a local authority to impose a wider range of conditions on the licences of lap dancing clubs than they are currently able to under the Licensing Act 2003.
- 1.4 In order to operate under this legislation best practice advised that the Council adopt a policy for issue of licences and maintenance of sex establishments and approve a set of standard conditions to be applied to each licence, unless they are expressly excluded or varied.
- 1.5 The Council has a Sex Establishment Licensing Policy which was adopted on 5

April 2011. This forms the basis of decisions when dispensing the Licensing Authority's functions in respect of sex shops, cinemas and sex entertainment venues (lap dancing clubs). The current policy has been referred to and empowered members to consider and determine applications for sex establishments.

- 1.6 Although Forest Heath District Council and St Edmundsbury Borough Council are two separate licensing authorities, the Sex Establishment Licensing Policy is proposed as a joint policy for the West Suffolk councils. The draft policy at **Appendix 1** requires adoption by Full Council, following consultation by both Councils.
- 1.7 The proposed joint policy will replace the existing St Edmundsbury Borough Council policy, the main difference is the introduction of a wider range of standard conditions. The proposed policy is based upon one used nationally and which formed the basis of the policy in place at Forest Heath District Council. The Forest Heath policy has been has been referred to for the last four years where a lap dancing club application and subsequent annual renewals have required member determination as the result of objections.

## 2 <u>Consultation</u>

- 2.1 The consultation for this Joint Policy and conditions took place between 24 October 2016 and 5 December 2016 by way of advertising on our Website and writing to all stakeholders. Link to the closed consultation is attached in background documents.
- 2.2 The only comment received for this Policy was from an existing Sex Shop in St John's Street. The licensee has requested an explanation as to why we would require a passport photograph as well as a current passport on application annually. The request for both was to ensure that we had an up to date photograph on our database which is directly linked to the licensee who is required to undertake a DBS disclosure. This also assists Officers identifying the licensee when conducting official inspections.